REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 13-18 are pending and rejected in the application. Claims 1-12 were previously cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Claims 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Worley et al., (hereinafter "Worley"), US Pub. No. 2003/0177175 – Provisional 60/286,369 – filed: 04/26/2001, in view of Hayton et al., (hereinafter "Hayton"), US Pat. No. 7,346,842 – filed 11/02/2000.

Applicants submit the cited references fails to teach or suggest, among other things, at least a system for initializing a modified XML data element to form an XML script containing an original web page template for display by a web browser, creating a pretoken from the data in the incoming XML data element, determining if delimiters are present in the incoming XML data element, wherein if an ending delimiter is present, further comprising saving the beginning and ending delimiters as a token and storing the data in the token as a temporary value (e.g., as described in claim 13).

First, Applicants would like to agree with the Office Action's indication that Worley does not teach or suggest at least these relevant limitations of claim 13. See Office Action dated 7/8/2009, page 6. The Office Action instead relies on Hayton, asserting it teaches the relevant limitations. It cites column 5, lines 40-66, and column 2, lines 3-65 and column 17, line 25 – column 18, line 30 generally.

The cited section column 5, lines 40-66 comprises two paragraphs. The first paragraph, and the associated Figure 2b, is directed to describing the correspondence between page portions 260a-f and code fragments 265a-d of the page generation code 260. Each of the code fragments

Application No.: 09/892,633

Amendment dated: October 8, 2009

Reply to Office Action of July 8, 2009

265 are used to generate one or more page portions 260 of the web page 240. The cited section

describes an example wherein code fragment 265d is used to generate page portions 260c, 260e,

and 260f.

The second paragraph describes an example of the correspondences between code

fragments 265 of the page generation code 30 and the corresponding data 50a, 50b, 50c, 50d

upon which the code fragments 265 depend. The reference states that the data 50 upon which the

code fragments 265 depend is the data 50 that the code fragment 265 uses to generate the

corresponding page portion 260.

Applicants submit the cited section column 5, lines 40-66 fails to teach or suggest at least

relevant limitations of claim 13 discussed above. For example, the cited section fails to teach or

suggest at least creating a pretoken from the data in the incoming XML data element,

determining if delimiters are present in the incoming XML data element, wherein if an ending

delimiter is present, further comprising saving the beginning and ending delimiters as a token

and storing the data in the token as a temporary value (as described in claim 13).

The Office Action also cites to column 2, lines 3-65, which constitute nearly the entire

Summary of the Invention section. Applicants submit that this section generally states the

invention is a method and apparatus for regenerating portions of the page that have changed and

transmitting only those portions to the client for the display. It further describes the step of

incorporation includes copying a first portion of the transmitted page displayed on the client and

inserting the copied first portion into a second portion of the transmitted page displayed on the

client. It finally asserts that the invention relates to a client for incorporating a partial page into a

143456_1.DOC

- 6 -

Application No.: 09/892,633

Amendment dated: October 8, 2009

Reply to Office Action of July 8, 2009

transmitted page. However, similar to the cited section discussed above, the relevant limitations

of claim 13 are not taught or suggested anywhere.

Finally, the last cited section column 17, line 25 to column 18, line 25, essentially cites to

the entire description of Figure 9 of Hayton. The reference states that Figure 9 is directed to an

exemplary process by which the partial page regenerator 250 generates updates for portions 260

of the page 240 that have changed. So, similar to the above-discussed section column 5, lines

40-66, this cited section discusses the partial page regenerator 250 utilizes code fragments 265

are used to generate one or more page portions 260 of the web page 240. The partial page

regenerator 250 generates output for the changed page portions 260 and a modification list for

instructing the display alterer 245 on how to incorporate the changed page portions 260 in the

displayed page. However, Applicants again submit that the relevant aforementioned limitations

of claim 13 are not taught or suggested anywhere.

For at least the above reasons, the cited references fail to teach or suggest the above-

discussed relevant limitations of claim 13. Therefore, the rejection of claim 13 is lacking and

should be withdrawn. Applicants submit claim 13, and claim 16 (containing similar limitations)

are allowable. Claims 14-15, and 17-18 are allowable at least for depending from an allowable

base claim.

Applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. A

Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (408) 975-7950 to discuss any

matter concerning this application.

143456_1.DOC

- 7 -

Application No.: 09/892,633

Amendment dated: October 8, 2009 Reply to Office Action of July 8, 2009

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or § 1.17 to Deposit Account No. **11-0600**.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: October 8, 2009 By: /Sumit Bhattacharya/

Sumit Bhattacharya (Reg. No. 51,469)

KENYON & KENYON LLP 333 West San Carlos Street, Suite 600 San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (408) 975-7500 Facsimile: (408) 975-7501